

WHY SMOKEFREE?

THE SCIENCE IS CLEAR:

There is no safe level of exposure to secondhand smoke.¹ Secondhand smoke contains a toxic mixture of over 250 chemicals, 69 of which are known to cause cancer.² Breathing secondhand smoke for a short period of time can have immediate effects, like breathing difficulties, eye irritation, headaches, nausea, and asthma attacks.³ We should have the freedom to go out and enjoy our night without dealing with toxic smoke.

BETTER FOR WORKERS' HEALTH

- Everyone has the right to earn a living in a safe environment. Unfortunately, not everybody in Oklahoma enjoys this right. Although some indoor workplaces are smokefree by law, smoking is still allowed in bars and nightclubs. People who work in bars and clubs where smoking is allowed are exposed to secondhand smoke everyday when they work. This is harmful and unsafe.⁴
- Just being around secondhand smoke for 30 minutes can INCREASE your risk of a heart attack.^{5,6,7} Imagine the damage that secondhand smoke does to someone who is around it ALL the time. For people who work in bars and clubs where smoking is allowed, they are forced to breathe secondhand smoke day, after day, after day.
- For a bar or nightclub employee with asthma, secondhand smoke could trigger a severe asthma attack in less than the time it takes him or her to refill your drink.^{8,9}
- Employees who are exposed to secondhand smoke in the workplace are 20-30% more likely to get lung cancer. The longer the exposure, the higher the risk.¹⁰

BETTER FOR BUSINESS

- The evidence is clear and consistent: going smokefree does NOT hurt bar business. Economic studies continue to show that it doesn't harm bar patronage, employment, or profits. In fact, sometimes it improves employment and revenue. 11,12,13,14
- A smokefree work environment improves worker health and productivity. Going smokefree quickly improves the health of bar workers, including reducing respiratory problems (like coughing and shortness of breath), eye and throat irritations, runny noses, and sneezing.^{15,16,17}
- A smokefree environment means lower maintenance costs. Furniture, flooring, and decorations last longer because they are not destroyed by cigarette burns, nicotine residue, and cigarette odor. Cleaning costs also decrease when smoke, ashes, and cigarette butts are eliminated.¹⁸
- Smokefree bars and clubs protect employees and patrons from secondhand smoke. By establishing a smokefree environment, bars in Oklahoma would promote a healthy work environment where patrons can also enjoy their time out without compromising their health.
- More than 7 out of 10 (73.9%) Oklahomans do not smoke. 19 Catering to the majority is a good business decision.

CITATIONS

- ¹ U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. How Tobacco Smoke Causes Disease: The Biology and Behavioral Basis for Smoking-Attributable Disease: A Report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, 2010.
- ² U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. 2010.
- ³ U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. 2010.
- ⁴ U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2010.
- ⁵ Otsuka, R., et al. "Acute Effects of Passive Smoking on the Coronary Circulation in Healthy Young Adults," Journal of the American Medical Association 286: 436-441, 2001.
- ⁶ Burghuber, O., et al. "Platelet sensitivity to prostacyclin in smokers and non-smokers," Chest 90: 34-38, 1986.
- ⁷ Heiss, C., et al, "Brief secondhand smoke exposure depresses endothelial progenitor cells activity and endothelial function," Journal of the American College of Cardiology 51(18): 1760-1771, May 6, 2008.
- ⁸ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Asthma: Important Asthma Triggers. http://www.cdc.gov/asthma/triggers.html.
- ⁹ U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2010.
- ¹⁰ U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The Health Consequences of Involuntary Exposure to Tobacco Smoke: A Report of the Surgeon General. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Coordinating Center for Health Promotion, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health, 2006.
- ¹¹ International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), "Evaluating the effectiveness of smoke-free policies: IARC Handbooks of Cancer Prevention, Tobacco Control, volume 13," World Health Organization (WHO), IARC, 2009, http://www.iarc.fr/en/publications/pdfs-online/prev/handbook13/handbook13-0.pdf.
- ¹² Eriksen, M. and Chaloupka, F. (2007), The Economic Impact of Clean Indoor Air Laws. CA: A Cancer Journal for Clinicians, 57: 367-378. http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.3322/CA.57.6.367/abstract.
- ¹³ Hahn, EJ, "Smokefree Legislation: A Review of Health and Economic Outcomes Research," American Journal of Preventive Medicine 39(6S1):S66-S76, 2010.
- http://clearwaymn.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/12/Smoke-Free-Legislation-A-Review-of-Health-and-Economic-Outcomes-Research-Ellen-Hahn.pdf.
- ¹⁴ U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2006.
- ¹⁵ Menzies D, Nair A, Williamson PA, Schembri S, Al-Khairalla MZH, Barnes M, et al. Respiratory Symptoms, Pulmonary Function, and Markers of Inflammation Among Bar Workers Before and After a Legislative Ban on Smoking in Public Places. Journal of the American Medical Association 2006;296(14):1742-8.
- ¹⁶ Goodman P, Agnew M, McCaffrey M, Paul G, Clancy L. Effects of the Irish Smoking Ban on Respiratory Health of Bar Workers and Air Quality in Dublin Pubs. American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine 2007;175(8):840-5.
- ¹⁷ Eisner MD, Smith AK, Blanc PD. Bartenders' Respiratory Health After Establishment of Smoke-Free Bars and Taverns. Journal of the American Medical Association 1998;280(22):1909-14.
- ¹⁸ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, The Costs and Benefits of Smoking Restrictions: An Assessment of the Smoke-Free Environmental Act of 1993 (H.R. 3434). Office of Air and Radiation. Washington, DC, April 1994.
- ¹⁹ Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Survey Data. Atlanta, Georgia: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2011.